



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/893,265	06/26/2001		Tony Cheng	IGYG:002 1889	
7590 11/12/2004		•	EXAMINER		
HOWREY LI ATTORNEYS		17	WOO, ISAAC M		
750 Bering Dri		v	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Houston, TX 77057-2198				2162	
			•	DATE MAIL CD: 11/12/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/893,265	CHENG ET AL.				
·	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Isaac M Woo	2162				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
THE REPLY FILED 02 November 2004 FAILS TO PLATherefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this applicants avoid abandonment of this application.	ation. A proper reply to a				
PERIOD FOR F	REPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WA 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the O timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37	s Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth e later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin AS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF d of extension and the corresponding amount of the shortened statutory period for reply ffice later than three months after the mai	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension out of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action: or				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 Cl						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	because:					
(a) they raise new issues that would require furt	her consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);				
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the				
(d) they present additional claims without cance NOTE:	eling a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claims.				
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reje	ction(s):					
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	d be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment				
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: S	The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .					
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.					
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims v	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed:	Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:	Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-7,9-13 and 18-20</u> .	Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-7,9-13 and 18-20</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) ap	proved or b)☐ disapproved by t	he Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	-0.6				
10. Other:		The state of the s				

JE W.M. CORRIELUS PRIMARY EXAMINER

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

The applicant's arguments (filed on 11/02/2004) are not persuasive. Because Lynch and/or Reese disclose or suggest claimed limitations as discussed on final rejection mailed on 07/27/2004. Thus, disclosed invention is not in condition for allowance.